



Statement Establishing Unintentional Delay

By

David H. Shen  
21037 Dorsey Way  
Saratoga, Ca 95070  
408-888-0867  
Date: Jan. 21, 2009

Dear Sir,

This is to support my statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date of September 3, 2008 to the filing dated of November 3, 2008 for the reply with the Amended Specification and Drawings for the application number 10/810,603 titled "A High Speed Analog Filter Using Transmission Line Delay Elements" is unintentional.

The entire delay is due to my, the Inventor's, misunderstanding of the form PTO/SB/22 which has a Five months extension. I believed that the due date of the reply could be extended by Five months. Therefore, I filed a Petition for Extension of Time under 37 CFR 1.136(a) for Five months on May/23/2008 together with a check of \$1,115 for small entity status with a check number 5034 dated 5/23/2008. The check of \$1,115 was later cashed by the Patent Office and I believed that my reply due time was extended until November 3, 2008. Therefore, I filed a reply with Amended Specification and Drawings on November 3, 2008.

The following attached two pages are a copy of the Petition for Extension of Time and a copy of the check mailed to the patent office on 5/23/08.

I respectfully request the approval of this Petition for Revival of the Application no. 10/810,603 for Patent Abandoned Unintentionally under 37 CFR 1.137(b).

Sincerely yours,

David H. Shen